



the issues could have been resolved differently, or find that the issues raise questions which warrant further review. For the reasons stated in the May 3, 2005 order, this court finds that Petitioner has not made a “substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right.” 28 U.S.C. § 2253.

It is therefore **ORDERED**, for the foregoing reasons, that Dukes’ Motion Requesting Certificate of Appealability is hereby **DENIED**.

**AND IT IS SO ORDERED.**

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'D. Norton', is written over a horizontal line.

**DAVID C. NORTON**  
**UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**

**Charleston, South Carolina**  
**August 23, 2005**